



Personal resilience and identity capital among young people leaving care: enhancing identity formation and life chances through involvement in volunteering and social action

Lucy Webb, Nigel Cox, Holly Cumbers, Susanne Martikke, Emma Gedzielewski & Maryam Duale

To cite this article: Lucy Webb, Nigel Cox, Holly Cumbers, Susanne Martikke, Emma Gedzielewski & Maryam Duale (2017) Personal resilience and identity capital among young people leaving care: enhancing identity formation and life chances through involvement in volunteering and social action, *Journal of Youth Studies*, 20:7, 889-903, DOI: [10.1080/13676261.2016.1273519](https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2016.1273519)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2016.1273519>



Published online: 28 Dec 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 586



View related articles [↗](#)




View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 2 View citing articles [↗](#)



Personal resilience and identity capital among young people leaving care: enhancing identity formation and life chances through involvement in volunteering and social action

Lucy Webb ^a, Nigel Cox^a, Holly Cumbers^a, Susanne Martikke^b, Emma Gedzielewski^c and Maryam Duale^c

^aFaculty of Health, Psychology & Social Care, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK; ^bGreater Manchester Centre for Voluntary Organisation, Manchester, UK; ^cGreater Manchester Youth Network, Manchester, UK

ABSTRACT

This study explored identity capital and personal resilience among care leavers and young people in care engaging in social activities through volunteering. Care leavers and young people in care are disadvantaged developmentally by lack of identity resources and an accelerated transition to independence. This study analysed material from semi-structured interviews to explore the Identity Capital Model and theories of individualisation, agentic identity development and resilience in explaining the identity resources of young people transitioning out of care. The analysis identified links between the exploration opportunities of volunteering with the development of agentic individualisation and enhanced identity capital. The findings indicate that developmental processes may be enhanced through supported and personalised volunteering opportunities to aid vulnerable young people transitioning out of care. Young people leaving care can make substantial gains particularly in social capital, personal resilience and identity capital. This study indicates that volunteering opportunities for this group of vulnerable young people may assist in compensating for the lack of resources often experienced by care leavers when transitioning to adulthood.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 4 May 2016

Accepted 13 December 2016

KEYWORDS

Identity capital; transition; care leaver; individualisation; volunteering; resilience

Introduction

Young people leaving out-of-home care (care leavers) in the UK face a process that shortens and accelerates their transition to adulthood, in comparison with their home-care peers (Stein 2008). Transition into adulthood is noted for its instability (Luyckx, De White, and Goosens 2011) and inequality of opportunity within social and physical environments (Ungar 2015), but many care leavers are already vulnerable to physical and mental health problems (Dixon 2008; Ward 2011; Hiles et al. 2013; Baidawi, Mendes, and Snow 2014; Barn and Tan 2015; Memarzia et al. 2015), presenting an added transition challenge.

Care leavers experiencing environmental and emotional instability typically struggle to accumulate the positive support networks (Hiles et al. 2013) required for utilising development opportunities. Such lack of support is associated with social exclusion (Jackson and Cameron 2012), and necessitates that relevant professionals facilitate development of social connections (Rogers 2011; Singer, Berzin, and Hokanson 2013).

Current policy and practice

In recognition of differences in life chances between care leavers and their home-care peers, the UK government introduced policies to better reflect the elongated transition process of young people in the UK. The Care Leaver Strategy and update (HM Government 2013, 2014) aim to ensure that care leavers are better supported during care transition.

This study uses qualitative data from a wider mixed-method project measuring the impacts of volunteering on personal resilience, social capital and well-being (HM Government 2014). This study examines in depth how identity development and resilience may be enhanced by exposure to supported extra-curricular activities among this population.

Theoretical background

Need for developmental opportunities

Recent evidence indicates that forms of informal learning and leisure activities are associated with positive outcomes for disadvantaged young people. For example, Hollingworth (2012) and Melkman et al. (2015) both find that care leavers engaged in volunteering activities, having a caring role or paid employment, develop self-confidence and self-efficacy, with a strong sense of purpose (Melkman et al. 2015) and are more likely to engage in further education (Hollingworth 2012). Jackson and Cameron (2012) suggest that volunteering enables young people to develop new interests linked to possible career choices. One inherent factor in the success of extra-curricular activities appears to be the support provided during or in the presence of the opportunity. For Hollingworth (2012), lack of encouragement or support for care leavers is detrimental to care leavers' ability to aspire educationally or professionally. For Tilbury et al. (2011) lack of support, encouragement or resources engenders low expectations and lack of confidence among care leavers. They propose that care leavers need assisted exposure to diverse experiences such as hobbies, sports or part-time jobs to develop aspirations and self-efficacy. Exposure to such developmental opportunities is highlighted by Rutter, Giller, and Hagell (1998) as instrumental to acquiring social capital, and is reflected in the epigenetic process suggested by theorists described below, as part of identity development.

Identity development

Psychosocial theories of identity development can be sourced to Erikson's dichotomous epigenetic stage model of drives and environmental influences, locating adolescence at the stage of identity acquisition versus role confusion (Erikson 1950, 1968). Marcia (1966) expanded this into four-status outcomes: identity achievement (commitment following exploration and experimentation), foreclosure (premature commitment), moratorium (ongoing experimentation or avoidance) and diffusion (identity confusion). Later theories emphasise the necessary interactions between agency and opportunity to

explore (Luyckx, De White, and Goosens 2011), interpersonal relationships (Lerner 2006) and resources and empowerment from the social environment (Ungar 2011). This study focuses on the interactive elements on intra-personal factors, while accepting that environmental and social inequality is the normative context for this population group.

Identity capital

Côté (2002, 2005) recognises that adolescent individualisation lies within a continuum between extremes of passive acceptance of externally imposed identity, versus active adoption of liberality and personal choice. For Côté, passive, default, individualisation is characterised by the absorption of pre-ordained personhood, acquired from parents or culture, with a subsequent delaying of stable and coherent adult maturity. Agentic individualisation for Côté is governed by opportunity-seeking behaviour, choice and personal growth.

Côté appears to utilise an educational-developmental concept of agency, being the ability to initiate intentional goal-oriented action (Mashford-Scott and Church 2011). While agency is a contested concept within social constructionism, this model appears to present a continuum of self-determination, affording a relativistic measure of agency.

Cluster analysis by Schwartz, Côté, and Arnett (2005) illustrates this continuum, albeit rather dichotomously, as an agentic pathway, linked to exploration, self-esteem, ego strength and internal locus of control, and a default pathway characterised by avoidance, conformity and diffuse identity.

The Identity Capital Model places individualisation in the context of maturation prolonged by continuing education and training (Côté 2002; Côté et al. 2016). Identity capital for Côté (2002) is those resources available internally (intangible identity capital) and externally (tangible identity capital) which aid a young person's navigation between the extremes of identity formation. Identity gains may come from structured forces (e.g. gender, class, family expectations), structured opportunities (e.g. family support, community memberships, external validation) and the personal capital which enables the exercising of agency (e.g. self-esteem, confidence, aspirations). However, identity gains here rely on exposure to opportunities obtained by agentic behaviour, resulting in exploitation of inner resources (e.g. personality and skills) and external resources (e.g. social connections) (Yuan and Sek-yum Ngai 2016).

Social capital

Social capital comprises Côté's tangible capital which comes from social connections. Care leavers may often lack tangible identity capital which would otherwise stem from family support, peer networks and community stability (Comptroller and Auditor General 2015). Agentic behaviour is seen as important in accessing social capital (Côté 2002), supporting psychosocial well-being (Tikkanen 2016), identity resolution (Yuan and Sek-yum Ngai 2016) and academic and career achievement (Beal and Crockett 2013). This underlines the necessity of opportunities to gain social capital in order to facilitate individualisation.

Personal resilience

Resilience is described as a multi-dimensional process of adaptation to adversity involving personal factors and the social and physical environment (Ungar 2015). Resilience is strongly linked to contextual dimensions and access to environmental resources (Ungar

2015) therefore, disadvantaged young people may lack resilience and be particularly subject to pre-existing and continuing negative effects (Cicchetti 2013; Ungar 2013). Personal resilience among disadvantaged young people is identified, and termed variously, as a sense of belonging, agency and mastery, personal competency, emotional stability (i.e. Stein 2006; Gilligan 2009; Hunter 2012). There is no agreed terminology, as different disciplines adopt their own language. However, there is a clear sharing of concepts between personal resilience and Cote's intangible identity capital of self-esteem, agency, confidence and aspirations, grouped as emotional self-regulation, self-efficacy and self-determination by Cicchetti (2010). According to Rutter, Giller, and Hagell (1998) modifiable resilience factors among disadvantaged young people are associated with secure attachment, having a sense of control, peer support and being given an opportunity. Newman and Blackburn (2002) identified that personal resilience in adversity can be developed through strong social support networks, committed mentoring, positive school and extra-curricular activities, opportunities to develop a sense of mastery, making a difference to others and being exposed to positive stress.

This study separates contextual resilience (social capital and opportunity-taking) from personal resilience, such as Cote's intangible capital, and uses Cote's agentic individualisation terminology of internal locus of control as mastery or agency, ego strength as self-determination, and confidence as self-esteem and self-efficacy.

Aims of this study

The interplay between individualisation and identity capital appears to be an important feature in the development of personal resilience for the emerging adult. Where much research has focused on this interplay in a family setting (e.g. Hauser 1991; Billings, Hauser, and Allen 2008), these theories have not been applied directly to people leaving care. This analysis aims to explore the impacts of enhanced social and identity capital on agentic individualisation and personal resilience among transitioning care leavers.

This study uses interview transcripts from a wider project evaluating the benefits of supported volunteering for young care leavers. Interview data explored how exposure to development opportunities reflects the theoretical frameworks of structured and agentic identity development, individualisation and personal resilience. Specifically to:

- (1) Explore how identity capital and agentic individualisation explain benefits of managed volunteering among young people leaving care.
- (2) Explore current theories of individualisation and identity capital in relation to personal resilience factors among young people leaving care.

Method

A pragmatic, qualitative methodology (Mackenzie and Knipe 2006) was developed by NC for the wider project, which identified eight topic areas for exploration, derived chiefly from Office for National Statistics social capital indicators (Foxton and Jones 2011), with supplementary questions added to address personal resilience, life transitions and experiential agency/knowledge. In consultation with project stakeholders, topics were

Table 1. Interview themes.

Theme	Exemplary question
(1) Bonding social capital (with peers)	Think of something you found really fun, challenging or difficult during the project, who would you tell first about this, and why?
(2) Bridging social capital (with others)	Think about where you live/where spend the most time. Who, in your opinion, are the most important people in your area?
(3) Linking social capital (access to power)	Imagine you are having problems with [...] Imagine you've been in touch, but nobody has got back to you, what next?
(4) Transitions	Thinking back over your time being part of the project, did you surprise yourself by doing something new or unexpected?
(5) Personal resilience	Did anything during the project make you stretch your talents to their limit?
(6) Reflecting	If you were asked to list three things you have found out about yourself during this project, what would they be?
(7) Evaluating services	Could you tell someone what you would change about the project, given the chance?
(8) Influencing/helping others	If you had known at the beginning what you know now, what might you advise a friend in the same situation as you to do?

transformed into semi-structured interview questions suitable for this population (Table 1). Field notes were taken during interviewing processes. Qualitative methodologies within a pragmatic paradigm are necessarily problem-centred and orientated to 'real-world' practice evaluation, therefore, the wider mixed-method project reflected both interpretivist and positivist paradigmatic positions.

Intervention

Participants were all involved in volunteering in Manchester, UK. The Boom project, run by Greater Manchester Youth Network (GMYN), offers volunteering opportunities to in-care and care leaving young people. Boom (in-care) and Boom+ (care leavers) are age-determined activity groups for 13–21-year-olds. Volunteering opportunities are matched to the young people for suitability, with support given by GMYN workers throughout the activity.

Sample

Eight interviewees were purposively selected for interview from a cohort of 18 young care leavers and in-care young people (and their carers) engaged in Boom projects. Cohort total comprised 12 females and 6 males, with an average age as 17.25 (range 14–21). Ten participants recorded a disability (communication, mental health, specific learning disability). Sixteen were white British, one African and one 'not known'. Fourteen were in education while four were not engaged in education or employment. Potential participants were identified in partnership with statutory and non-statutory gatekeepers (social care staff and voluntary sector partners). Participants were selected to reflect the range of users of Boom/Boom+, nonetheless, as a 'hard-to-reach' cohort, final selection was also mediated by participants' accessibility and willingness to participate.

Data analysis

Data were collated using QSR NVivo and content analysis applied to interview data and field notes. Content themes were derived from Côté's developmental individualisation hypothesis (2002), using the agency-identity model (Schwartz, Côté, and Arnett 2005) as a framework (Table 2).

Table 2. Framework adapted from Côté’s individualisation hypothesis and Schwartz, Côté, and Arnett (2005) agency-identity model.

Structured	Agentic
Conforming (to normatives)	Internal locus of control (sense of control and self-determination)
Foreclosure (premature self-identification or commitment)	Exploration, exploitation of opportunities (approach coping)
Avoiding (avoidance coping)	Ego strength (surety of identity, sense of purpose)
Moratorium (delaying, lack of commitment)	self-esteem (pride, enjoying helping others, feeling good about oneself)
Diffuse role/identity – confusion	Choice/flexibility (able to decide for oneself)
	confidence
	commitment (decision-making, absorption)

The agency-identity model was collapsed into key themes: ‘exploration’ was included with ‘exploitation of opportunities’, and ‘ego strength’ merged with ‘sense of purpose’ and ‘surety of identity’ (i.e. standing up for oneself and self-representation).

Interviews were conducted by SM and HC with EK or MD present. Analysis was conducted by LW and discussed for model concordance with NC. Researcher bias was managed by separating data collection (interviewers) from analysts (LW and NC).

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was received from a university Research Ethics Committee, and scrutinised by stakeholders in the partner agency: this included ongoing consideration of ethical matters (anonymity, confidentiality and data protection) and consideration of safeguarding duties. All fieldworkers were Disclosure and Barring Service verified. Face-to-face interviews were undertaken in locations affording privacy, while remaining in close proximity to other researchers and young people. Individual adjustments were made to information giving/consent processes for young people who disclosed reading difficulties, thereby ensuring consent was meaningful and fully informed.

Findings

Eight interviews were conducted with six care leavers (two females) and two in-care young people (two females). Overall, the main finding was that agentic individualisation and identity capital helped explain the personal change and growth associated with volunteering activities. Stages of individualisation were appropriately different between care leavers and in-care participants, but overall these opportunities appear to have provided development opportunities and prompted agentic individualisation through exploration, the exercising of choice, and development of self-esteem and interpersonal social capital.

Exploration and exploitation of opportunities

Many references indicated that the young people welcomed the opportunity to gain experiences and take a risk. All interviews contained evidence that they experienced an opportunity for exploration, often with positive consequences:

I’d never done (rock climbing) before, I was a bit nervous at first [] I ended up thinking I might as well just do it. (YP1, female, 19years)

I never used to do the group things I do now, I just used to do the one-to-one, but when I started doing Boom + I was like 'what the hell' and got stuck in doing the group stuff. (YP8, male, 18years)

These examples suggest a change of perspective from a state of moratorium in which the young person appears 'stuck' or 'waiting', to one of risk-taking and exploration when presented with an opportunity.

Identity and interpersonal social capital

Nearly all participants or their carers identified interpersonal change:

I feel like I've got more open I can just go out and meet new mates. (YP2, male, 18years)

Boom+ is good because it makes me mature. [] ... I'm more confident to talk to people because I was before ... 'I shouldn't even come here'. But now I can talk to people easily and I've learnt a lot of things here being in Boom. (YP7, male, 18years)

I left school with rubbish GCSEs [] I did something negative then but look at me now, I'm doing something positive. [] ... it's helped me to build up my confidence, helped me to speak to other people and share my opinions (YP6, female, 17years)

Field note: interview with withdrawn, shy female (YP3, 14years):

S5 (foster-carer) reports: She would never have done this (abseiling). She didn't do it at first; she was the last person to do it, but once she'd done it she wanted to do it again and again. So that gave her lots of confidence.

There is interplay evidenced here between exposure to social networks, development of personal capital (confidence) and agentic behaviour in being able to exploit opportunities. This highlights that the epigenetic process of identity requires internal conditions for exploration such as confidence and secure attachment, and external factors such as the provision of safe opportunities to engage in developmental work. YP3's evidence above also illustrates a feedback loop of successful exploring with increased confidence and further exploration.

Ego strength, self-esteem and confidence

Many participants implied increased confidence, self-esteem and ego strength, often expressed through limited language (*italicised*):

At first we thought we weren't going to raise any money but then when we got the certificates we were proper proud we did this, put all our hard work into it, so we felt really *happy* ...

We made some cakes for people with dementia, when we went there it was pretty heart-breaking [] when they saw the younger ones they started crying, that made us more heart-broken, [] we've never experienced that before, [] we went walk-about and gave them cake, talked to them and that's what we did, that was pretty good *fun*. (YP2, male, 18years)

The use of the words 'happy' and 'fun' suggests development of self-esteem and ego strength in contexts of adversity (hard work and emotional challenge). The description of 'fun' here is particularly noticeable in recognition of the growth of personal strength in the face of what was otherwise a challenging encounter.

Internal locus of control and exercising choice

As suggested by Rutter, Giller, and Hagell (1998), having a sense of control is important for personal resilience. However, Côté's agentic behaviour is clearly a form of internal locus of control in that a sense of being able to control one's own actions and environment is agentic. There were several indications among older participants of enjoying control, or frustration at not having control:

... (I)f you ask me a question I will just give the answer straightaway you know, so they make me to be more confident when I'm saying something and *they give me that power* to express myself every time in any situation. (YP7, male, 18years, recent immigrant to UK)

'Soon as I'm 21 I don't have a social worker' []. (Q: How does that feel?) 'Relieved because I've had that most of my life, it will be good to fend for myself, *it's what I want to do now* but I can't [] because staff at my house say, 'he's doing that' [], so I don't really have a choice at the moment'. (Asked what he would want to do ...) 'Like teenage things smoking weed, drinking, stuff like that [] it's a teenager thing and I'm a teenager I want to do it, can I live my teenage life and they're just like 'no', it is a very parent thing. (YP8, male, 18years)

... (M)y idea is, *I'm going to do this* as volunteer work and then if I'm really into it [] go back to (peer mentor) and say I want to make a job out of this how do I go about it. (YP6, female, 21years)

The frustration of not being able to exercise agentic behaviour (YP8) suggests internal need to exercise personal choice and control, and contrasts with expressions and recognition of gaining personal control and having agency. The expression of agentic behaviour for YP6 indicates an easy confidence in already having agency. Examples from YP6 and YP7 go further in demonstrating awareness of having gained agency (power).

Tangible identity capital (social capital)

It was clear that these young people already had linking social capital (access to connections) through their social workers, but both older and younger participants appeared to struggle with making friends for reasons which varied from changing locations to feeling isolated and vulnerable:

With netball (youth club) we just stopped going. [] it wasn't the original team that she had started with, [] they formed their own team and sort of left (YP3) out. [] They weren't making her feel part of it, so we just stopped going. (foster-carer to YP3, female, 14years)

However, most participants described their social world opening up due to increasing interpersonal skills and ego strength:

... (N)ow I have got used to being in a group and working all together. When I was in the group at school I got bullied so I turned round and said I don't want to be in that group. (YP1, female, 19years)

You meet totally different new people who have got different minds, different opportunities. (YP2, male, 18years)

The two in-care young people (YP1 and YP3) illustrate their lack of social capital before joining Boom. Their stories suggest, however, that tangible social capital (meeting people, gaining friends) also impacts on their confidence to exploit further resources

(i.e. engaging in groups). YP2 also demonstrates the extension of social capital (bridging capital) through interacting with people outside his usual peer group.

Structured individualisation

Most interviewees were self-selecting, agentic individuators as they had committed themselves to Boom projects. However, there was evidence that they had needed the structure of an organised project to feel able to take the risk of engaging in activities:

I'd never done it before (rock climbing), I was a bit nervous. *The staff encouraged me to do it.* (YP1, female, 19years)

... I learnt that I have got skills I didn't think I had, *through encouragement and motivation to do tasks.* (YP1, female, 19years)

(Foster-carer) '... she did face-painting for the people coming in [...]. You know what you want to do. Go on, tell S. *'I want to be a make-up artist'.* (YP3, female, 14years)

I was dead eager to get in there, get it done, *do what I'm supposed to be doing* ... (YP2, male, 18years)

External structure may have provided permission to exploit opportunities. Project members appear to be vital for engagement or motivation in the first two examples. However, YP3 and YP2 are also demonstrating conformity to roles (albeit at different developmental stages). Most telling is YP2's need for conformity within the volunteering activity (*do what I'm supposed to be doing*). This evidence also indicates the epigenetic nature of maturation through the need and use of structured support such as being given the role of face-painter (tangible capital), and for the development of identity factors such as confidence and self-esteem (intangible capital).

Other examples demonstrate the transition from previous identity moratorium before Boom:

I never used to talk to many people, I just wanted to keep myself to myself, do whatever. [When] I moved to B, I didn't really know anyone so I thought *I'll just stay here, go to college and go home, just stay in my room.* (YP 2, male, 18years)

I look back and I think *'alright I was negative then but look at me now'*, I'm doing something more positive and it's pushed me. (YP6, female, 21years)

One individual (YP4, female, 16years) demonstrated a marked identity vulnerability, particularly through avoidance coping and diffusion. This appeared to be embedded in anxious attachment. This individual was 'hard to reach' and refused to be recorded, but presented for interview on second appointment. All data were collected through observation, discussion with her project worker and field notes. There is evidence of foreclosure, poor ego strength, premature self-identification and role conformity:

Field note:

The interview took place with YP4 looking down most of the time and having the hood of her track suit up. YP4 commented that she hadn't had anything to eat the whole day. I ask her if she didn't even have breakfast and she said, *'no, I'm a fussy eater'*. I offer her my apple and I've brought some chocolates, but she refused them, saying *'I don't eat fruit'*.

The self-labelling could indicate premature foreclosure, but here could be simply her young age and need to be defensive. Further statements demonstrate a lack of commitment to the Boom experiences through avoidance behaviour:

Field note:

As soon as the interview starts [] she claims that she doesn't remember them (Boom activities) and generally says that she cannot say which ones stood out for her, either in a positive or negative way.

Field note:

YP4 seems quite interested in being helpful to others or being seen as someone who supports others. She does not seem to want to acknowledge any weaknesses in herself and/or her own need of support. [] even going to Boom is doing (project worker) a favour []. [] She also seems to have taken on the role of carer and central person in her family's life, somebody who is there for others in the absence of her mother playing that role.

The role of carer is also indicative of conformity for YP4. When asked why she returned to Boom after a break, she avoids demonstrating dependence on others for ego strength:

Field note:

She said that everybody kept 'begging' her to come back, so the decision to go back was mainly 'to get them off my back'. [] (W)hen I said 'now you're pulling my leg', she said, 'no, really, they kept asking me'.

However, earlier, she revealed her esteem needs during a bike ride:

Field note:

... (S)he was going at her own pace, being ahead of everybody else. *'Everyone was behind me'*.

[] (S)he tends to be waving to her foster-carer from the top of the hill when he is still pushing his bike up the hill, so there is a certain sense of accomplishment and pride in this.

Lack of agentic behaviour for Côté is marked particularly by an inability to make choices. It also follows that a young person with a poor a sense of identity (identity diffusion) will find it difficult to make choices. The lack of owned values or sense of who they are impacts on decision-making. A comment from YP4's project worker is indicative of YP4's poor ego strength and difficulty in making choices:

Field note:

EG commented about YP4's inability to make choices. When EG offered her a £10 shopping voucher, she didn't know which shop she wanted it for.

While this difficulty may be avoidance (reluctance to disclose) rather than decision-making, it is concordant with her general presentation of identity diffusion. She demonstrates only limited certainty about herself, and these are more indicative of premature foreclosure rather than positive identity formation:

Field note:

Asked about how she decided to do childcare (for a career), she says she has been *'wanting to do that for ages'*. She refers to having looked after her little sister and little cousin [] and says *'I love children'*.

YP4 demonstrates a level of 'victim' resilience (Stein 2006), having experienced disrupted placements and not being able to develop a sense of trust. There is also evidence of survivalist behaviour (Samuels and Price 2008), through defensiveness, avoidance and foreclosure.

Discussion

This analysis focused on the impacts of volunteering on care leavers' processes of individualisation and acquisition of personal resilience. The individualisation model appears to provide explanation for the process of growth these opportunities provide, especially with regard to agentic individualisation. This does not demonstrate of course that the individualisation process would not be progressing without these experiences, but participants' testimonies here support the notion that they would not be gaining timely exposure to development opportunities without specific support. The key elements that appear to aid maturation are the personalised nature of the opportunities offered and the support and motivation from project workers.

To view individualisation as purely dichotomous appears misleading as here it is a graduated epigenetic process, with structure providing building blocks for increasing agentic behaviour. The most vulnerable young person (YP4) demonstrates that structure in the form of conformity (social role) and avoidance (lack of commitment) provides a safe haven, although she is showing some exploratory behaviour by returning to Boom and agreeing to be interviewed.

This exploration of individualisation among care leavers made use of existing interview data from young people who self-selected, both by joining Boom projects and agreeing to be interviewed. This makes this sample particularly agentic at the outset. However, this study has contrasted this sample at different maturation stages and adversity. The material also illustrates links between individualisation, identity capital and personal resilience, as identified in this analysis particularly as ego strength, self-esteem and internal locus of control.

Of the two in-care females, both are at earlier stages of individualisation in that their conformity and foreclosure (e.g. career choice) seem more natural for their degree of independence, and their self-esteem is more reliant on external validation (abseiling and cycling ahead of others). This external validation can be compared to that of a more mature care leaver's internalised integrity, describing volunteering with the homeless:

I didn't tell any of my friends [] I'm not one of them people who brag about things and go, 'oh look I'm helping out the homeless'. (YP8, male, 18years)

Helping others emerged as a strong self-esteem factor. Although not articulated specifically, it was clear that this gave a sense of pride and achievement. These findings reflect those of Melkman et al. (2015) for which benefits to care leavers in helping others included self-efficacy, social connectedness, a sense of purpose and gaining a sense of 'normality' by reversing the care role.

Supported volunteering appears to provide many of the personal resilience factors identified in the literature. These young people are experiencing a sense of control, peer support and opportunity (Rutter, Giller, and Hagell 1998), and appear to benefit from committed mentoring, extra-curricular activities (engendering a sense of mastery),

helping others and exposure to positive stress (Newman and Blackburn 2002). Additionally, many of the young people attest particularly to the intangible identity capital in gaining confidence and communication skills, enabling exploitation of the opportunities presented.

One aim of this study was to explore interactions between individualisation processes, identity capital and personal resilience. Findings indicate that agentic identity opportunities can engender both internal and external identity capital, and their relationship appears epigenetic in that gains are dually incremental and co-dependent. Individualisation and resilience literature suggests there is overlap between the two, with definitions of resilience clearly identified in individualisation theory, albeit using different terminologies. This study also demonstrates that personal resilience, in the form of self-esteem (confidence), ego strength (integrity and sense of purpose) and self-determination (agency) is also dependent on individualisation opportunities and identity capital.

Pragmatic efforts to replicate the extended maturation process for care leavers in the UK may reduce a survivalist self-reliance that engenders premature identity resolution and foreclosure as suggested by Stein (2006). Measured graduation towards individualisation appears to need continued support during exposure to opportunities for safe exploration; replicating graduation from the parental home. Examining the role of supported volunteering for care leavers found these exposures provide opportunities for the individualisation process, and at different stages of maturity.

Limitations of the study

This study used pre-existing data collected for a compatible research aim. This limited the sample size and representation of younger people in care. However, the main findings from this study stem from the care leavers, with evidence from those in care providing a contrast to the care leavers' stage of development. This study does not explore participants' social context, so excludes examination of environmental factors of personal resilience, though these findings suggest that these young people have existing social capital, which may be a factor in enabling their access to Boom.

Conclusion

Agentic individualisation appears to provide an explanation for the positive outcomes found when care leavers engage in extra-curricular activities. In this example, volunteering may provide an esteem factor via the rewards received from helping others, and gaining a sense of achievement. Volunteering or similar activities, with ongoing support, may enhance social capital through group work, communication and interpersonal skills, with a resulting increase in personal capital such as confidence and self-belief. At the same time, it is likely that supported activities facilitate exposure to agentic development opportunities. Future research could explore the impact of extra-curricular activities on personal resilience in order to give direction for improved practice in supporting this population group. However, agentic individualisation for this group appears to need support through structured activity and motivation, to compensate for existing intra-personal disadvantages. These are likely to be lack of confidence and self-esteem and poor

interpersonal skills, especially for those who are at a more vulnerable stage of individualisation.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This project was funded through the UK Government's Cabinet Office Vulnerable and Disengaged Young People Fund and conducted jointly by Greater Manchester youth Network, Greater Manchester Centre for Voluntary Organisation and Manchester Metropolitan University [9443/10741].

ORCID

Lucy Webb  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2580-3654>

References

- Baidawi, S., P. Mendes, and P. Snow. 2014. "Young People in, and Transitioning from, Out-of-Home Care and Their Mental Health Issues: A Call for Evidence." *Children Australia* 39 (4): 200–205.
- Barn, R., and J.-P. Tan. 2015. "Foster Youth and Drug use: Exploring Risk and Protective Factors." *Children and Youth Services Review* 56: 107–115.
- Beal, S. J., and L. J. Crockett. 2013. "Adolescents' Occupational and Educational Goals: A Test of Reciprocal Relations." *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 34: 219–229. doi:10.1016/j.appdev.2013.04.005.
- Billings, R. L., S. T. Hauser, and J. P. Allen. 2008. "Continuity and Change from Adolescence to Emerging Adulthood: Adolescence-Limited vs. Life-Course-Persistent Profound Ego Development Arrests." *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 37: 1178–1192.
- Cicchetti, D. 2010. "Resilience Under Conditions of Extreme Stress: A Multilevel Perspective." *World Psychiatry* 9 (3): 145–154.
- Cicchetti, D. 2013. "Annual Research Review: Resilient Functioning in Maltreated Children—Past, Present, and Future Perspectives." *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 54: 402–422.
- Comptroller and Auditor General. 2015. *Care Leavers' Transition to Adulthood, Session 2015-16, HC 269*. London: National Audit Office.
- Côté, J. E. 2002. "The Role of Identity Capital in the Transition to Adulthood: The Individualization Thesis Examined." *Journal of Youth Studies* 5 (2): 117–134.
- Côté, J. E. 2005. "Identity Capital, Social Capital and the Wider Benefits of Learning: Generating Resources Facilitative of Social Cohesion." *London Review of Education* 3: 221–237. doi:10.1080/14748460500372382.
- Côté, J. E., S. Mizokami, S. Roberts, and R. Nakama. 2016. "An Examination of the Cross-cultural Validity of the Identity Capital Model: American and Japanese Students Compared." *Journal of Adolescence* 46: 76–85.
- Dixon, J. 2008. "Young People Leaving Care: Health, Well-being and Outcomes." *Child and Family Social Work* 13 (2): 207–217.
- Erikson, E. 1950. *Childhood and Society*. New York: Norton.
- Erikson, E. 1968. *Identity: Youth and Crisis*. New York: Norton.
- Foxton, F., and R. Jones. 2011. *Social Capital Indicators Review*. London: Office for National Statistics.
- Gilligan, R. 2009. *Promoting Resilience: Supporting Children and Young People Who Are in Care, Adopted or in Need*. London: British Association for Adoption & Fostering.
- Hauser, S. T. 1991. *Adolescents and Their Families: Paths of Ego Development*. New York: Macmillan.

- Hiles, D., D. Moss, J. Wright, and R. Dallos. 2013. "Young People's Experience of Social Support During the Process of Leaving Care: A Review of the Literature." *Children and Youth Services Review* 35: 2059–2071.
- HM Government. 2013. *Care Leaver Strategy*. London: HM Govt.
- HM Government. 2014. *Care Leaver Strategy: One Year on Progress Update*. London: HM Govt. HMG-00634-2014.
- Hollingworth, K. E. 2012. "Participation in Social, Leisure and Informal Learning Activities among Care Leavers in England: Positive Outcomes for Educational Participation." *Child & Family Social Work* 17: 438–447.
- Hunter, C. 2012. "Is Resilience Still a Useful Concept When Working with Children and Young People?" Child Family Community Australia, PAPER NO. 2.
- Jackson, S., and C. Cameron. 2012. "Leaving Care: Looking Ahead and Aiming Higher." *Children and Youth Services Review* 34: 1107–1114.
- Lerner, R. M. 2006. "Editor's Introduction: Developmental Science, Developmental Systems, and Contemporary Theories." In *Theoretical Models of Human Development*. Vol. 1, Handbook of Child Psychology (6th ed.), edited by R. M. Lerner, 1–17. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Luyckx, K., H. De White, and L. Goosens. 2011. "Perceived Instability in Emerging Adulthood: The Protective Role of Identity Capital." *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 32: 137–145.
- Mackenzie, N., and S. Knipe. 2006. "Research Dilemmas: Paradigms, Methods and Methodology." *Issues in Educational Research* 16 (2): 193–205.
- Marcia, J. E. 1966. "Development and Validation of Ego-Identity Status." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 3: 551–558.
- Mashford-Scott, A., and A. Church. 2011. "Promoting Children's Agency in Early Childhood Education." *Novitas-ROYAL (Research on Youth and Language)* 5 (1): 15–38.
- Melkman, E., Y. Mor-Salwo, K. Mangold, M. Zeller, and R. Benbenishty. 2015. "Care Leavers as Helpers: Motivations for and Benefits of Helping Others." *Children and Youth Services Review* 54: 41–48.
- Memarzia, J., M. St. Clair, M. Owens, I. Goodyer, and V. Dunn. 2015. "Adolescents Leaving Mental Health or Social Care Services; Predictors of Mental Health and Psychosocial Outcomes One Year Later." *BMC Health Services Research* 15: 359. doi:10.1186/s12913-015-0853-9.
- Newman, T., and S. Blackburn. 2002. *Transitions in the Lives of Children and Young People: Resilience Factors*. Interchange 78. Edinburgh: Scottish Executive Education Department.
- Rogers, R. 2011. "I Remember Thinking, why Isn't There Someone to Help me? Why Isn't There Someone Who Can Help Me Make Sense of What I'm Going Through? 'Instant Adulthood' and the Transition of Young People out of State Care" *Journal of Sociology* 47 (4): 411–426.
- Rutter, M., H. Giller, and A. Hagell. 1998. *Antisocial Behaviour by Young People*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Samuels, G., and J. Price. 2008. "'What Doesn't Kill You Makes You Stronger': Survivalist Self-Reliance as Resilience and Risk among Young Adults Aging Out of Foster Care." *Children and Youth Services Review* 30: 1198–1210.
- Schwartz, S., J. Côté, and J. Arnett. 2005. "Identity and Agency in Emerging Adulthood: Two Developmental Routes in the Individualization Process." *Youth and Society* 37 (2): 201–229.
- Singer, E., S. Berzin, and K. Hokanson. 2013. "Voices of Former Foster Youth: Supportive Relationships in the Transition to Adulthood." *Children and Youth Services Review* 35 (12): 2110–2117.
- Stein, M. 2006. "Young People Aging out of Care: The Poverty of Theory." *Children and Youth Services Review* 28: 422–434.
- Stein, M. 2008. "Transitions from Care to Adulthood: Messages from Research for Policy and Practice." In *Young People's Transitions from Care to Adulthood: International Research and Practice*, edited by M. Stein and E. R. Munro, 289–306. London: Jessica Kingsley.
- Tikkanen, J. 2016. "Concern or Confidence? Adolescents' Identity Capital and Future Worry in Different School Contexts." *Journal of Adolescence* 46: 14–24.
- Tilbury, C., P. Creed, N. Buys, and M. Crawford. 2011. "The School to Work Transition for Young People in State Care: Perspectives from Young People, Carers and Professionals." *Child and Family Social Work* 16: 345–352.

- Ungar, M. 2011. *Social Ecology of Resilience*. New York: Springer.
- Ungar, M. 2013. "Resilience, Trauma, Context and Culture." *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse* 14: 255–266.
- Ungar, M. 2015. "Practitioner Review: Diagnosing Childhood Resilience – A Systemic Approach to the Diagnosis of Adaptation in Adverse Social and Physical Ecologies." *Journal of Psychology and Psychiatry* 56 (1): 4–17.
- Ward, H. 2011. "Continuities and Discontinuities: Issues Concerning the Establishment of a Persistent Sense of Self Amongst Care Leavers." *Children and Youth Services Review* 33: 2512–2518.
- Yuan, R., and S. Sek-yum Ngai. 2016. "Agentic Personality as Mediator of Social Capital on Developmental Outcomes in the Transition to Adulthood: Evidence from Shanghai, China." *Journal of Adolescence* 46: 1–13.